- 2. Background: The proposed priority changes reflect the current importance and effort given to NATO and MBFR-related topics. Specifically, the priorities relate directly to intelligence support which is of major importance to US and NATO negotiating tactics and objectives. NSA has provided somewhat adverse comments, but I do not believe that they should stand in the way of your approval of the recommended priority changes.
 - a. (U) NSA asserts incorrectly that the DCID 1/2 priorities system is not judgmental. The system is highly judgmental. While the criteria for structuring the requirements matrix is objective, the actual matching of priorities with the critera requires considerable judgment and is the product of extensive discussion and negotiation among our best informed people. The judgments draw upon the expertise of the NIOs, the DCI Committees, Community membership represented at the DCID 1/2 Ad Hoc Group, and the NFIB.
 - b. (S) NSA incorrectly relates the priorities of DCID 1/2 to budgetary resource constraints applied to intelligence collection in the SIGINT arena. In fact the document is not designed to provide budgetary guidance. Instead, DCID 1/2 provides basic substantive priorities for conducting current foreign intelligence activities. While current priorities play a part as a point of departure for the development of resource guidance, projected changes in requirements and priorities, expressed in the forthcoming mid-range element of the Planning System, will make the principal contribution.

(EXECUTIVE NEW / - 3 / Approved For Release 2004/07/08 CTATR PROMODIES A001000020001-1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

	المستعدد الم	
	Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CI A-RDP80M001 65A001000020001-1	
25X1	SUBJECT: Proposed Priority Changes, Attachment to DCID 1/2	
25X1	c. DCID 1/2 is not, as NSA asserts, a tasking document. Neither is it structured as an absolute value system where an increase in one priority must be accompanied by an equivalent decrease elsewhere. The system is a limited hierarchy of relative values which permits only eight priority choices in each of	
25X1	possible cases.	
25X1	d. NSA disagrees with the notion that 21 additional priority 3's will have slight impact on the overall priority structure, suggesting that NSA is concerned with inflation of priorities. It should be noted that these changes represent only 0.16 of one per cent of all possible priorities and, if	·a
	all are approved the total of all topics of priority three and above will still amount to only 2.6% of the total. The annual review of DCID 1/2 is designed to preserve the basic discipline of the priorities system. Experience indicates that the annual review adjusts priorities both upward and downward (indeed,	•
	the current issue of whether or not to continue flights resulted from the downgrading of Southeast Asia priorities).	25X1
25X1	3. Staff Position: As noted, all NFIB members except NSA advise that these proposed priority changes be approved. Brigadier General Edwards. B. Atkeson will discuss apparent misconceptions about the system with Vicadmiral B. R. Inman independently.	rd
25X1	4. Recommendation: That you approve the proposed priority changes as noted in the reference.	
	John N. McMahon	25X1
	Attachment NSA memo, dated 18 October 1977, same subject /s/ Stansfield Turner Attachment /s/ Stansfield Turner	ren le
	APPROVED: Total Turner Change We	utile priorite
	DISAPPROVED: Director of Central Intelligence	
	DATE: 4 NOV 1977	

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M001654001000020001-1

Distribution DCI/IC 77-6371

Original - Addressee (return to ICS)

1 - DCI w/att
1 - ER w/att

1 - A/D/DCI/IC Chrono w/att

1 - OPP Chrono w/att

1 - OPP Subject w/att

1 - IC Registry w/att

25X1

(20 Oct 77) DCI/IC/OPP/PPD/

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt